D09.1 Internal beta version for Linux with TC security function available

(M18) April 2007

Project number	IST-027635
Project acronym	Open_TC
Project title	Open Trusted Computing
Deliverable type	Report

Deliverable reference number	IST-027635/D09.1/V1.0
Deliverable title	Internal beta version for Linux with TC security functions available
WP contributing to the deliverable	WP09
Due date	April 2007 (M18)
Actual submission date	June 14, 2007

Responsible Organisation	SUSE
Authors	Roman Drahtmüller
Abstract	This document describes the integration and distribution activities of the first proof-of-concept prototype of the Open_TC project, the PET use case scenario. It also outlines the planned activities for the rest of the project.
Keywords	Trusted Software Stack (TSS), authentication, virtualisation, L4/XEN, Trusted PlatformModule (TPM), Live image, PET, banking proxy

Dissemination level	Public
Revision	V1.0 Final

Instrument	IP	**Start date of the project**	1st November 2005
Thematic Priority	IST	**Duration**	42 months

If you need further information, please visit our website www.opentc.net or contact the coordinator:

Technikon Forschungs-und Planungsgesellschaft mbH
Richard-Wagner-Strasse 7, 9500 Villach, AUSTRIA
Tel.+43 4242 23355 –0
Fax. +43 4242 23355 –77
Email coordination@opentc.net

Table of Contents

1 Introduction, Overview

The purpose of this report is to provide an overview over the integration activities performed to generate the main deliverable, the publically downloadable first beta version of a TC security functions consuming Linux system.

The mentioned Linux system has been made available in the form of a downloadable iso image that can be written to a CDROM. The iso image contains a Live system, a complete Operating System that can be booted from the CDROM, independend and without any interference with the installed system. This mechanism for evaluating a functionality or even a product has become an industry standard in the Open Source Software world for its convenience and usefulness.

The download location for the iso images as well as the documentation that comes with it is:

ftp://ftp.suse.com/pub/projects/opentc/
or
http://ftp.suse.com/pub/projects/opentc/

The directory also contains README files with introductory information and the so-called test plan, the step-by-step instruction that leads and demonstrates the implemented functions. The directory structure should be self-explanatory.

In addition to the download links mentioned above we enclose the iso image with a live demo on a CD attached to the printed version of this Deliverable submitted on June 14th.

The development work on this proof-of-concept prototype has been taking considerable more time and effort than originally anticipated. This report will also discuss the difficulties and hurdles that needed solving and that contributed to the delays. Some of the problems are not solved for fundamental reasons; those are discussed in slightly more detail.

The working group has gathered valuable experiences during this part of the project, leading to some consequences that will need to be considered for the next proof-of-concept prototype.

2 The downloadable iso images

The consortium members had several options for the implementation of the PoC prototype based on SUSE Linux technology:

- Seperate iso images for L4 and for Xen hypervisors
- One combined iso image that can boot both the Xen and the L4 hypervisor
- Server- and client side combined on one image, or seperate.

Representatives from POLITO, HP, TUD, RUB and SUSE have decided that the space constraints are not strong enough to justify the creation of individual iso images, so that only one iso image was built.
The space constraints have been circumvented using a similar technique as DSL (Damn Small Linux) uses, which was the base for the initial version of the PoCP that was demonstrated in Paris for the project review. Automatic package dependency resolution in SUSE packages allows the specification of a high level package which then, derived from its dependency tree, attracts all depending packages into the installation selection in a bottom-up fashion. Software packages that are not needed by this high level functionality are not selected. Also, directories that are certainly not needed during the execution of the software, have not been installed from the packages, or have been deleted after the installation to save space. The package documentation directories can be found under /usr/share/doc/packages and the online manual pages under /usr/share/man .
The iso images are being created using a set of scripts, stored on the subversion server opentc.suse.de (maintained by SUSE). This script pulls packages from a repository of packages; this repository is filled from the standard set of packages that are contained in an openSUSE Linux distribution as well as additional packages that were built specifically for the OpenTC project. There is no distinct barrier between standard and OpenTC-specific packages, as it is the intention to introduce OpenTC packages (which are deliverables of the project) into the standard package base of the openSUSE distribution over the time, as the consortium and the OpenTC project sponsor approves of it. Using this grid of build scripts, it is possible to customize an openSUSE system for the specific needs of the OpenTC project. This concept is not constrained to the consumption and the feedback of the packages. It extends to the package building mechanism and infrastructure itself as well by leveraging its integrative compilation facility to save the manual effort.

The download location itself is on the traditional SUSE Linux main ftp site, accessible using both the FTP and the HTTP protocols. It should be mentioned that the directory is being mirrored on over 200 FTP servers located across 6 continents, belonging to universities and other academical or research institutions, internet providers, commercial organizations as well as private people who can afford the bandwidth. Mirroring Open Source Software FTP servers with publically available downloadables is considered good taste. The files contained in the opentc directory come with a checksum for integrity and authenticity proof in the MD5SUMS file, which itself is cryptographically signed by security@suse.de, the root authority of the SUSE organization.

3 Development work, the limitations and remaining problems

In May 2006, during the workshop that took place in Bochum, the consortium decided in a meeting lead by SUSE to implement the first PoC prototype based on Damn Small Linux (DSL) for a variety of reasons. It was clear that this decision came with consequences, mostly what the development process with a somewhat negative impact is concerned. DSL is not a fully-featured Linux operating system, but a framework for strongly customized set-ups. It is highly flexible because individual components can be modified **before** their compilation from the source code into the binaries that will be run in the final product. This flexibility may ease the work of a single group, but it also complicates the concerted effort if it spans across organizational boundaries because one modification to a package done by one group of developers easily obstructs work done by another group. Committing changes and corrections to them, backing out patches and re-applying them constitutes a working style that cannot be easily translated to the usage of a different, by far more complex Linux system such as the openSUSE platform. However, this is exactly what has happened, leading to a complex technical failure that cannot be resolved without changing the development methods.
To summarize:

- The operating system is to be seen as a schematically built stack that provides functionality from below and that imposes requirements from above. The complexity of this scheme is influenced by the amount of dependency levels (depth) and the amount of software entities that depend on a single other entity (width).
    - Packages depend on functions provided by libraries. To know whether or not a library can fulfil the functional requirements of the binary that links against it can only be done at compile-time. Exchanging a library in binary form without a re-compilation of the executable is likely to fail.
    - This is valid analogously for the runtime linking between Microkernel (->hypervisor) and Linux-kernel, and for the linking between kernel and libc.
- The system consists of a mix of Open Source Software and proprietary software pieces. As a result of the inability to change a package that does not come with sources, the Open Source Software pieces need to adapt. If an entire chain of packages needs adoption, already integrated portions should be left untouched if possible to minimize the overall effort.
- Licensing of software that is in the process of becoming Open Sourced is a show-stopper for the external shipment of the product until the process is complete. We have learned that relying on a consortium partner's legal department was a disadvantageous experience.
- Two reference platforms have been defined on which the PoC prototype was supposed to run: The Lenovo T60 and the HP NX series. However, both of these computers are equipped with different revisions of hardware chips inside, even though the model name remains the same. A hardware driver for one T60 may work well, but may fail on another T60. Therefore, the definition of the reference platform was a clear mistake. To circumvent these difficulties, SUSE intended to run the PoC prototype on as many hardware platforms as possible already from the start. Nevertheless, it still can happen that the PoCP does not run on a specific series of a T60 or an HP NX.
- Porting work that has been done on the DSL version of the PoCP to the

openSUSE platform was expected to save time and efforts. However, “grown” development practice and the resulting lack of traceability of changes to individual packages in DSL as well as the need to apply fixes to bugs and problems to the DSL port have resulted in estimatedly twice or three times the amount of work on the SUSE port compared to initial estimates, ultimately leading to schedule slips.

- A software development project must have a coordinated language for project management. As an example, going from the alpha phase of a project into the beta phase should directly mean that all features must have been implemented up to that point (this means that all relevant packages have their version frozen). The transition from beta to release phase means that no minor bugs such as cosmetics are being fixed any more, updates of all kinds should be expected to introduce more problems than they expect to fix.

A lot of the points from above has either been ignored or even knowingly violated during the development of the SUSE port of the PoCP – tremendous strength of will and much personal energy was invested by all involved partners to complete the work successfully (the partners are named in the table at the end of this document – major pieces of work have been performed by the persons mentioned in the table.). Some weaknesses could not be overcome completely, though:
The L4 part of the SUSE-based PoCP may under some circumstances fail to launch the firefox browser. This is the result of a negative side effect of a trick to overcome version conflicts between four software layers: L4-kernel, L4-Linux, glibc with runtime-linker, and java-rte parallel to firefox. The availability limitations of versions of two distinct packages in this stack bring about a version conflict that cannot be fully resolved. The occasional firefox launch failure was chosen as an optimum in this problem field by the working group.
Due to the absence of these version conflicts within the Xen part of the PoCP, the Xen part does not suffer from them, either.

4 Outlook

The constraints above clearly indicate that responsibilities for both the integrative value-add and the corresponding project management is still insufficient to achieve the objectives at an acceptable degree of effectiveness and efficiency. Synergy between WP09 and WP07 (methodologies as well as EAL5 feasibility study) must be leveraged during the upcoming work shop in Seddin/Potsdam at the end of June 2007 to provide education about proper software development methodologies and practices, the usage of a common development platform and more direct communication among the developers in the group. The ideal world in which an operating system is being built and assembled is well-known, and the willingness to invest efforts into getting there is present, arising positive expectations for the state of the 2007 Proof-of-concept prototype later this year.

5 List of OpenTC project partners involved in the integration of the SUSE PoCP

POL Politecnico di Torino	Gianluca Ramunno, Davide Vernizzi
HP Hewlett-Packard Ltd	Wolfgang Weidner, David Plaquin
TUD Technische Universität Dresden	Carsten Weinhold, Bernhard Kauer
RUB Ruhr-University Bochum	Patrick Stewin
RHUL Royal Holloway and Bedford New College	Stephane Lo Presti
SUSE Linux Products GmbH	Torsten Duwe